



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/066,120

01/31/2002

Paul Reiss

Hartford-7

3332

45722

7590

08/15/2008

Howard IP Law Group

P.O. Box 226

Fort Washington, PA 19034

EXAMINER

WEIS, SAMUEL

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3693

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/15/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/066,120	<b>Applicant(s)</b> REISS, PAUL	
	<b>Examiner</b> SAMUEL S. WEIS	<b>Art Unit</b> 3693	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAMUEL S. WEIS. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Rob Rosenthal. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bove, US Pat. 7,149,713.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained independent claim 1 and differences with Bove. Examiner agreed with Applicant that Bove did not disclose 2 limitations and the current rejection will be withdrawn. Examiner mentioned potential 101 and 112 issues with claims 1 and 15. Examiner will conduct a further search on the limitations not disclosed by Bove to determine allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stefanos Karmis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3693	
--	--